

On the Lax representation of the 2-component KP and 2D Toda hierarchies

Guido Carlet¹ and Manuel Mañas²

¹ CMUC, Centre for Mathematics, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

² Departamento de Física Teórica II, Universidad Complutense, Madrid, Spain

E-mail: gcarlet@mat.uc.pt and manuel.manas@fis.ucm.es

Received 8 March 2010, in final form 29 June 2010

Published 12 October 2010

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/43/434011

Abstract

The Lax formulation of the multicomponent Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) and 2D Toda hierarchies involves several implicit constraints. We show that, at least in the 2-component case, it is possible to explicitly solve such constraints and identify a set of free dependent variables for such hierarchies.

PACS number: 02.30.Ik

Mathematics Subject Classification: 37K10

1. Introduction

The Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP) and the two-dimensional Toda (2D Toda) hierarchies are the most well-known hierarchies associated with $2+1$ integrable systems. They both admit the so-called multicomponent generalizations where the scalar Lax operators are replaced by matrix-valued ones. The multicomponent KP hierarchy has been originally defined by Date *et al* [6], while the multicomponent 2D Toda hierarchy was introduced in the seminal paper [13] by Ueno and Takasaki. They have been further studied by several authors, see e.g. [3, 9, 12]. These hierarchies have recently been the subject of much interest in relation e.g. with multiple orthogonal polynomials [2], Brownian motion [1] and the Givental group action on the space of Frobenius structures [8].

Differently from the scalar case, in the multicomponent hierarchies the matrix Lax operators have to satisfy certain constraints. Let us look first at the usual KP hierarchy. In this case one has a single scalar pseudo-differential Lax operator

$$L_{\text{KP}} = \partial + u_1 \partial^{-1} + u_2 \partial^{-2} + \dots$$

The commuting flows of the hierarchy are given by the Lax equations

$$\frac{\partial L_{\text{KP}}}{\partial t_n} = [(L_{\text{KP}}^n)_+, L_{\text{KP}}],$$

where $(\cdot)_+$ denotes the projection of a pseudo-differential operator to its differential part. Formally, the Lax equations might be considered as an algebraic device to produce the sequences of differential polynomials

$$P_{k,n} := (u_k)_{t_n} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{KP}} = \mathbb{C}[\{u_k, u'_k, u''_k, \dots\}_{k \geq 1}]$$

in the dependent variables $u_k, k \geq 1$, such that the corresponding derivations

$$\partial_{t_n} := \sum_{s \geq 0, k \geq 1} (\partial_x^s P_{k,n}) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_k^{(s)}}$$

on the algebra \mathcal{A}_{KP} commute.

In the simple case of the 2-component KP hierarchy, one has two pseudo-differential Lax operators

$$L = \partial + L_1 \partial^{-1} + \dots, \quad C = E_{11} + C_1 \partial^{-1} + \dots,$$

where the coefficients are 2×2 matrices. Let \mathcal{A}' be the algebra of differential polynomials in the entries $(L_i)_{\alpha,\beta}, (C_i)_{\alpha,\beta}, \alpha, \beta = 1, 2$, of the matrix coefficients of L and C . As before, the Lax equations (6) and (7) define sequences of elements in \mathcal{A}' . However, in this case the corresponding derivations do not commute unless an infinite set of differential identities generated by the constraints

$$C^2 = C, \quad [L, C] = 0$$

is taken into account. In principle, it is not easy to establish if these identities can be used to eliminate some variables or if it is possible to write the flows as derivations on a smaller algebra \mathcal{A} of differential polynomials without extra constraints.

In this work we show that, at least in the case of the 2-component KP and 2D Toda hierarchies, it is possible to explicitly solve the constraints above and to identify a set of ‘free’ dependent variables.

In the case of the 2-component KP hierarchy the operator C turns out to be parametrized in terms of its off-diagonal part C_A , e.g. by the formula

$$C = \sqrt{1 + 2C_A} E_{11} \sqrt{1 + 2C_A},$$

while the operator L is parametrized by its diagonal part L_D and by C_A by the formula

$$L = L_D - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1 - 4C_A^2}),$$

where \mathcal{D} is the derivation on the space of formal power series in C_A defined by $\mathcal{D}(1) = 0$ and $\mathcal{D}(C_A) = H L_D$.

Substituting these formulas in the Lax equations we obtain evolutionary-type equations that only involve the variables in L_D and C_A . The Lax equations hence define sequence elements in the algebra \mathcal{A} of differential polynomials in the variables $(L_i)_{11}, (L_i)_{22}, (C_i)_{12}$ and $(C_i)_{21}$ such that the corresponding derivations on \mathcal{A} commute, without any constraint.

Similar results are proved for the case of the 2-component 2D Toda hierarchy.

One of our main motivations for trying to construct a constraint-free Lax formulation of these multicomponent hierarchies has been the recent construction [5] of an infinite-dimensional Frobenius manifold associated with the dispersionless limit of the usual 2D Toda hierarchy. To see if such a construction is also applicable to the case of multicomponent hierarchies one would like to understand if the construction of a bi-Hamiltonian structure (along the lines e.g. of [4]) is possible, and if the dispersionless limit for these hierarchies can be understood in the usual way in terms of the symbol of a convenient Lax operator. We hope that giving a formulation free of constraints at least in the 2-component case could be a first step in this program.

An example of 2-component hierarchy in which such program seems to be possible is the case of the 2-component BKP hierarchy where a bi-Hamiltonian structure [14] has been found which could possibly lead to an infinite-dimensional Frobenius manifold. However, in this case, one exploits a reformulation [11] of the Lax representation of the hierarchy in terms of two scalar pseudo-differential operators.

This paper is organized into two main sections. In section 2 we consider the 2-component KP hierarchy. The definition of this hierarchy in terms of pseudo-differential operators is recalled. We show that the constraints on the Lax operators can be solved explicitly, and we identify the dependent variables of the hierarchy. In section 3 an analogous construction is developed in the case of the 2-component 2D Toda hierarchy. In this case the algebra of formal difference operators is considered and a similar solution of the constraints is given. Section 4 is devoted to a summary and a discussion of further developments, in particular, to the possibility of generalizing the present approach to the n -component case.

Notation. We use the following notations:

$$E_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

with the identity matrix denoted by I .

Recall that the algebra of (formal) pseudo-differential operators is given by formal series in the symbol ∂ as

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^n a_k \partial^k,$$

with the algebra structure defined by

$$\partial^k f = f \partial^k + \binom{k}{1} f' \partial^{k-1} + \dots.$$

We consider the coefficients a_k as elements in the algebra of differential polynomials in the dependent variables of the hierarchy. See e.g. [7] for further details on the formal setting.

Analogously, we define the formal difference operators as formal series in Λ , either of the form

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^n a_k \Lambda^k \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{k=-m}^{\infty} a_k \Lambda^k,$$

where the algebra structure is given by $\Lambda^k = (\Lambda^k f) \Lambda^k$. In this case the coefficients a_k are the difference polynomials in the dependent variables of the hierarchy. See e.g. [10] for further details.

2. The 2-component KP hierarchy

The usual Lax formulation of the 2-component KP hierarchy [3, 6, 9] is the following. One considers the 2×2 -matrix-valued pseudo-differential operators L , $C^{(1)}$ and $C^{(2)}$ of the form

$$L = \partial + L_1 \partial^{-1} + L_2 \partial^{-2} + \dots, \tag{1}$$

$$C^{(1)} = E_{11} + C_1^{(1)} \partial^{-1} + C_2^{(1)} \partial^{-2} + \dots, \tag{2}$$

$$C^{(2)} = E_{22} + C_1^{(2)} \partial^{-1} + C_2^{(2)} \partial^{-2} + \dots, \tag{3}$$

which satisfy the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} C^{(i)} C^{(j)} &= \delta_{ij} C^{(i)}, & i, j &= 1, 2, \\ C^{(1)} + C^{(2)} &= 1, \\ [L, C^{(i)}] &= 0, & i &= 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly in this case $C^{(2)}$ can be expressed in terms of $C := C^{(1)}$ and it is sufficient to consider the constraints

$$C^2 = C, \tag{4}$$

$$[L, C] = 0. \tag{5}$$

Two sets of flows $t_{i,n}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $n \geq 0$ are defined by the Lax equations

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial t_{i,n}} = [(C^{(i)} L^n)_+, L], \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{\partial C^{(j)}}{\partial t_{i,n}} = [(C^{(i)} L^n)_+, C^{(j)}]. \tag{7}$$

By standard arguments one proves that these flows commute and that they preserve the constraints above.

The Lax equations (6) and (7), however, define commutative flows only if constraints (4) and (5) are satisfied. We now show that it is possible to explicitly solve these constraints.

We start our analysis from the first constraint (4).

Proposition 1. *A 2×2 -matrix-valued pseudo-differential operator C of the form*

$$C = E_{11} + C_1 \partial^{-1} + \dots \tag{8}$$

satisfies the constraint $C^2 = C$ if and only if it can be written as

$$C = C_A + C_D \quad \text{with} \quad C_D = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{H}{2} \sqrt{1 - 4C_A^2}, \tag{9}$$

where C_D and C_A are the diagonal and off-diagonal parts of C , respectively.

Proof. If we split the constraint $C^2 = C$ in its diagonal and off-diagonal parts, we see that it is equivalent to the system

$$C_D^2 + C_A^2 = C_D, \tag{10}$$

$$C_A C_D + C_D C_A = C_A. \tag{11}$$

Equation (10) is written as

$$(C_D - \frac{1}{2})^2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - 4C_A^2),$$

which is solved, taking care of the correct leading term of $C_D - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{H}{2} + \dots$, by formula (9). Using the fact that H commutes with diagonal matrices and anti-commutes with off-diagonal matrices, it is easy to check that formula (9) satisfies (11) too. \square

The square root appearing in (9) is defined by the usual power-series expansion of $\sqrt{1-x} = 1 - \frac{x}{2} + \dots$. This power series gives a well-defined pseudo-differential operator since C_A is of order 1 in ∂^{-1} ; hence, only a finite number of terms in the power series contribute to each given order in ∂^{-1} .

Corollary 2. *The parametrization (9) of C can be written explicitly as*

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - 4a\tilde{a}} & a \\ \tilde{a} & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 - 4\tilde{a}a} \end{pmatrix},$$

where a and \tilde{a} are the scalar pseudo-differential operators of the order $O(\partial^{-1})$:

$$a = a_1\partial^{-1} + a_2\partial^{-2} + a_3\partial^{-3} + \dots, \tag{12}$$

$$\tilde{a} = \tilde{a}_1\partial^{-1} + \tilde{a}_2\partial^{-2} + \tilde{a}_3\partial^{-3} + \dots. \tag{13}$$

We see at once that the entries of the matrix pseudo-differential operator C are expressed as differential polynomials in the coefficients of a and \tilde{a} . Recall that the square root of a scalar pseudo-differential operator $b = 1 + b_1\partial^{-1} + \dots$ can be defined by the power series above or equivalently as the unique operator $\sqrt{b} = 1 + \tilde{b}_1\partial^{-1} + \dots$ such that $(\sqrt{b})^2 = b$, where \tilde{b}_k are the differential polynomials in b_l 's, i.e. $\tilde{b}_k \in \mathbb{C}[\{b_l^{(n)}\}]$.

Example 3. Expanding up to the third order in ∂^{-1} we obtain

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - a_1\tilde{a}_1\partial^{-2} + (a_1\tilde{a}'_1 - a_2\tilde{a}_1 - a_1\tilde{a}_2)\partial^{-3} & a_1\partial^{-1} + a_2\partial^{-2} + a_3\partial^{-3} \\ \tilde{a}_1\partial^{-1} + \tilde{a}_2\partial^{-2} + \tilde{a}_3\partial^{-3} & a_1\tilde{a}_1\partial^{-2} - (\tilde{a}_1a'_1 - \tilde{a}_2a_1 - \tilde{a}_1a_2)\partial^{-3} \end{pmatrix} + O(\partial^{-4}).$$

Remark 4. Using the fact that $E_{11} = \frac{1+H}{2}$ and H anti-commutes with C_A it is possible to rewrite formula (9) in the interesting form

$$C = \sqrt{1 + 2C_A} E_{11} \sqrt{1 + 2C_A}.$$

From this expression it is easy to check that the constraint $C^2 = C$ is satisfied and that C has the correct leading term as in (8).

We now consider the second constraint (5). We first introduce

Definition 5. *The operator \mathcal{D} denotes the derivation on the space of formal power series in the variable C_A defined by $\mathcal{D}(1) = 0$ and $\mathcal{D}(C_A) = HL_D$, and L_D and L_A denote the diagonal and off-diagonal parts of L , respectively,*

and consider the following lemmas:

Lemma 6. *Constraint (5) is equivalent to*

$$[L_A, C_A] = -[L_D, C_D] \tag{14}$$

$$[L_A, C_D] = -[L_D, C_A] \tag{15}$$

holds.

Proof. Consider the diagonal and off-diagonal parts of $[L, C] = 0$. □

Lemma 7. *The following identity holds*

$$\text{ad}_{HL_D} = -\text{ad}_{C_A} \circ \mathcal{D} \tag{16}$$

when acting on power series in the variable C_A .

Proof. It follows from the definition of the derivation \mathcal{D} and the fact that ad_{C_A} is identically zero when acting on such series; hence, $0 = \mathcal{D} \circ \text{ad}_{C_A} = \text{ad}_{\mathcal{D}(C_A)} + \text{ad}_{C_A} \circ \mathcal{D}$. □

Lemma 8. *Let $C = C^2$ be as in proposition 1. Then constraint (14) is equivalent to the identity*

$$\text{ad}_{L_A} = -\frac{1}{2}\text{ad}_{\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2})} \tag{17}$$

on power series in C_A .

Proof. Indeed from (14) and (9) we have

$$\text{ad}_{L_A} C_A = -\frac{1}{2}\text{ad}_{HL_D}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2}),$$

and using (16)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_{L_A} C_A &= \frac{1}{2}\text{ad}_{C_A} \circ \mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\text{ad}_{\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2})} C_A. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 9. *Let $C = C^2$ be as in proposition 1. A 2×2 -matrix-valued pseudo-differential operator L of the form (1) commutes with C if and only if it has the form*

$$L = L_A + L_D \quad \text{with} \quad L_A = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2}). \tag{18}$$

Proof. Consider (15), which can be easily rewritten as

$$L_A H \sqrt{1-4C_A^2} + \frac{H}{2}\text{ad}_{L_A}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2}) = -[L_D, C_A]. \tag{19}$$

Using formula (17) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_{L_A}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2}) &= -\frac{1}{2}\text{ad}_{\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2})}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2}) \\ &= -\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2})\sqrt{1-4C_A^2} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}(1-4C_A^2). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this formula into (19) we obtain

$$L_A H \sqrt{1-4C_A^2} - \frac{H}{2}\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2})\sqrt{1-4C_A^2} - H\mathcal{D}(C_A^2) = -[L_D, C_A].$$

The last two terms in the last expression cancel and, since the square root is an invertible power series, we obtain (18).

On the other hand inserting (18) and (9) into (14) we see that this equation is satisfied if and only if

$$\text{ad}_{C_A} \circ \mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2}) = -\text{ad}_{HL_D}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2}),$$

which clearly follows from (16). Equations (18) and (9) also solve (15); indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} [L_A, C_D] &= -\frac{1}{4}[\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2}), H\sqrt{1-4C_A^2}] \\ &= \mathcal{D}(C_A^2)H \\ &= -[L_D, C_A]. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved. □

Corollary 10. *The matrix L has the following explicit parametrization:*

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} l & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \sum_{s=1}^n (a\tilde{a})^{s-1} (a\tilde{l} - la)(\tilde{a}a)^{n-s} \\ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \sum_{s=1}^n (\tilde{a}a)^{s-1} (\tilde{a}l - \tilde{l}\tilde{a})(a\tilde{a})^{n-s} & \tilde{l} \end{pmatrix}$$

where l and \tilde{l} are the pseudo-differential operators of the form $\partial + O(\partial^{-1})$:

$$\begin{aligned} l &= \partial + l_1\partial^{-1} + l_2\partial^{-2} + \dots, \\ \tilde{l} &= \partial + \tilde{l}_1\partial^{-1} + \tilde{l}_2\partial^{-2} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

while a and \tilde{a} are the off-diagonal entries of C_A defined in (12) and (13), and the coefficients c_n are defined by the expansion $\sqrt{1 - 4x^2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n x^{2n}$.

Example 11. On expanding we obtain

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \partial + l_1\partial^{-1} + l_2\partial^{-2} & a'_1\partial^{-1} + (a'_2 + a_1(l_1 - \tilde{l}_1))\partial^{-2} \\ -\tilde{a}'_1\partial^{-1} - (\tilde{a}'_2 + \tilde{a}_1(\tilde{l}_1 - l_1))\partial^{-2} & \partial + \tilde{l}_1\partial^{-1} + \tilde{l}_2\partial^{-2} \end{pmatrix} + O(\partial^{-3}).$$

Indeed L can be expressed only in terms of its diagonal coefficients, i.e. l_i and \tilde{l}_i , and the off-diagonal coefficients of C , i.e. a_i and \tilde{a}_i .

Remark 12. The parametrization (18) can also be written in the form

$$L = -\sqrt{1 + 2C_A}E_{11}\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1 - 2C_A}) - \mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1 + 2C_A})E_{22}\sqrt{1 - 2C_A}$$

as one can easily check.

Note that the dependence of L on L_D is linear, while L and C depend on C_A nonlinearly.

Using the results obtained above we find the following formulation of the 2-component KP hierarchy, which is free of constraints. The dependent variables are organized into two 2×2 -matrix-valued pseudo-differential operators of the form

$$\begin{aligned} L_D &= \partial + L_{D,1}\partial^{-1} + L_{D,2}\partial^{-2} + \dots, \\ C_A &= C_{A,1}\partial^{-1} + C_{A,2}\partial^{-2} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where L_D is diagonal and C_A is off-diagonal. We now introduce

Definition 13. The differential operators $B_{1,n}$ and $B_{2,n}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} B_{1,n} &= \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} + C_A + \frac{H}{2}\sqrt{1 - 4C_A^2} \right) \left(L_D - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D} \left(\sqrt{1 - 4C_A^2} \right) \right)^n \right]_+ \\ B_{2,n} &= \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} - C_A - \frac{H}{2}\sqrt{1 - 4C_A^2} \right) \left(L_D - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D} \left(\sqrt{1 - 4C_A^2} \right) \right)^n \right]_+ \end{aligned}$$

and $(\cdot)_D$ and $(\cdot)_A$ denote the projections on the diagonal and off the diagonal, respectively.

Proposition 14. The equations of the hierarchy are

$$\frac{\partial L_D}{\partial t_{i,n}} = [(B_{i,n})_D, L_D] - \frac{1}{2}[(B_{i,n})_A, \mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1 - 4C_A^2})], \tag{20}$$

$$\frac{\partial C_A}{\partial t_{i,n}} = [(B_{i,n})_D, C_A] + \frac{1}{2}[(B_{i,n})_A, H\sqrt{1 - 4C_A^2}]. \tag{21}$$

Proof. This result is obtained by projection of equations (6) and (7) on the diagonal and off the diagonal, respectively. □

2.1. Examples and the Davey–Stewartson system

Recalling the formulas for

$$L_A = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1-4C_A^2}) \quad \text{and} \quad C_D = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{H}{2}\sqrt{1-4C_A^2},$$

we get

$$L_A = L_{A,1}\partial^{-1} + L_{A,2}\partial^{-2} + L_{A,3}\partial^{-3} + \dots,$$

with the first three coefficients given by

$$L_{A,1} = H(C_{A,1})_x,$$

$$L_{A,2} = H((C_{A,2})_x + [L_{D,1}, C_{A,1}]),$$

$$L_{A,3} = H((C_{A,3})_x + [L_{D,1}, C_{A,2}] + [L_{D,2}, C_{A,1}] - L_{D,1}(C_{A,1})_x + C_{A,1}(L_{D,1})_x + (C_{A,1})_x C_{A,1}^2 + C_{A,1}^2(C_{A,1})_x - C_{A,1}(C_{A,1})_x C_{A,1}).$$

We also have

$$C_D = E_{11} + C_{D,2}\partial^{-2} + C_{D,3}\partial^{-3} + \dots,$$

with

$$C_{D,2} = -HC_{A,1}^2,$$

$$C_{D,3} = -H(C_{A,1}C_{A,2} + C_{A,2}C_{A,1} - C_{A,1}(C_{A,1})_x).$$

To find the explicit form of the flows we proceed with the computation of the expressions

$$(B_{1,1})_D = E_{11}\partial,$$

$$(B_{1,1})_A = C_{A,1},$$

$$(B_{1,2})_D = E_{22}\partial,$$

$$(B_{1,2})_A = -C_{A,1},$$

$$(B_{2,1})_D = E_{11}\partial^2 + 2L_{D,1}E_{11} - HC_{A,1}^2,$$

$$(B_{2,1})_A = C_{A,1}\partial + 2E_{11}(C_{A,1})_x + C_{A,2},$$

$$(B_{2,2})_D = E_{22}\partial^2 + 2L_{D,1}E_{22} + HC_{A,1}^2,$$

$$(B_{2,2})_A = -C_{A,1}\partial - 2E_{22}(C_{A,1})_x - C_{A,2}.$$

Inserting into the Lax equations (20) and (21) we obtain, for the first couple of times $t_{1,1}$ and $t_{1,2}$, the following equations for $L_{D,1}$ and $L_{D,2}$:

$$\partial_{1,1}L_{D,1} = (E_{11}L_{D,1} - H(C_{A,1})^2)_x, \tag{22}$$

$$\partial_{1,2}L_{D,1} = (E_{22}L_{D,1} + H(C_{A,1})^2)_x, \tag{23}$$

$$\partial_{1,1}L_{D,2} = E_{11}(L_{D,2})_x + H(((C_{A,1})_x)^2 - C_{A,1}(C_{A,2})_x - (C_{A,2})_xC_{A,1}), \tag{24}$$

$$\partial_{1,2}L_{D,2} = E_{22}L_{D,2,x} - H(((C_{A,1})_x)^2 - C_{A,1}(C_{A,2})_x - (C_{A,2})_xC_{A,1}), \tag{25}$$

while for $C_{A,1}$ and $C_{A,2}$ we have

$$\partial_{1,1}C_{A,1} = E_{11}(C_{A,1})_x + [E_{11}, C_{A,2}], \tag{26}$$

$$\partial_{1,2}C_{A,1} = E_{22}(C_{A,1})_x + [E_{22}, C_{A,2}], \tag{27}$$

$$\partial_{1,1}C_{A,2} = E_{11}(C_{A,2})_x + [E_{11}, C_{A,3}] + 2H(C_{A,1})^3, \tag{28}$$

$$\partial_{1,2}C_{A,2} = E_{22}(C_{A,2})_x + [E_{11}, C_{A,3}] - 2H(C_{A,1})^3. \tag{29}$$

Combining some equations of the hierarchy we can obtain an example of the 2+1 equation related to this hierarchy. Indeed, from the equations for $C_{A,1}$ and $C_{A,2}$ we can derive $C_{A,2}$ and

$C_{A,3}$ in terms of $C_{A,1}$ and its $x, t_{1,i}$ derivatives, $i = 1, 2$. For that aim it is enough to observe that $\text{ad}_{E_{ii}}^2 = \text{id}$, $i = 1, 2$, over the off-diagonal matrices. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} C_{A,2} &= [E_{11}, (\partial_{1,1} - E_{11}\partial)C_{A,1}] = [E_{22}, (\partial_{1,2} - E_{22}\partial)C_{A,1}] \\ C_{A,3} &= [E_{11}, (\partial_{1,1} - E_{11}\partial)^2 C_{A,1}] - (C_{A,1})^3 = [E_{22}, (\partial_{1,2} - E_{22}\partial)^2 C_{A,1}] + (C_{A,1})^3. \end{aligned}$$

We now write the $t_{2,i}$ -flows, $i = 1, 2$, but only for $L_{D,1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{2,1}L_{D,1} &= E_{11}(L_{D,1,xx} + 2L_{D,2,x}) - H(C_{A,1}(C_{A,1})_{xx} - ((C_{A,1})_x)^2 + (C_{A,1}C_{A,2})_x \\ &\quad - 2[E_{11}(C_{A,1})_x, E_{22}(C_{A,1})_x] + C_{A,1}[L_{D,1}, (C_{A,1})_x] + [L_{D,1}, A_{1,x}]C_{A,1}, \\ \partial_{2,2}L_{D,1} &= E_{22}(L_{D,1,xx} + 2L_{D,2,x}) + H(C_{A,1}(C_{A,1})_{xx} - ((C_{A,1})_x)^2 + (C_{A,1}C_{A,2})_x \\ &\quad - 2[E_{22}(C_{A,1})_x, E_{11}(C_{A,1})_x] + C_{A,1}[L_{D,1}, (C_{A,1})_x] + [L_{D,1}, A_{1,x}]C_{A,1}, \end{aligned}$$

and $C_{A,1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{2,1}C_{A,1} &= E_{11}(A_{1,xx} + 2(C_{A,2})_x) + [E_{11}, C_{A,3}] + 2[L_{D,1}E_{11}, C_{A,1}], \\ \partial_{2,2}C_{A,1} &= E_{22}(A_{1,xx} + 2(C_{A,1})_x) + [E_{22}, C_{A,3}] + 2[L_{D,1}E_{22}, C_{A,1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (26)–(29), (22) and (23) into the above equations results into

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{2,1}C_{A,1} &= H(\partial_{1,1}^2 C_{A,1} - 2(C_{A,1})^3) + 2[L_{D,1}E_{11}, C_{A,1}], \\ \partial_{2,2}C_{A,1} &= -H(\partial_{1,2}^2 C_{A,1} - 2(C_{A,1})^3) + 2[L_{D,1}E_{22}, C_{A,1}], \\ (E_{11}\partial_{1,1} + E_{22}\partial_{1,2})(L_{D,1}) &= ((C_{A,1})^2)_x. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we write

$$L_{D,1} = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix}, \quad C_{A,1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p \\ q & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we get the Davey–Stewartson system

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{2,1}p &= \partial_{1,1}^2 p - 2p^2q + 2Up, \\ \partial_{2,1}q &= -\partial_{1,1}^2 q - 2pq^2 - 2Uq, \\ \partial_{2,2}p &= -\partial_{1,1}^2 p + 2p^2q - 2Vp, \\ \partial_{2,2}q &= \partial_{1,1}^2 q + 2pq^2 + 2Vq, \\ \partial_{1,1}U &= \partial_{1,2}V = (pq)_x. \end{aligned}$$

3. The 2-component 2D Toda hierarchy

In this section we show that a similar analysis can be performed for the 2-component 2D Toda hierarchy. In this case one has to deal with matrix-valued formal difference operators.

The 2-component 2D Toda hierarchy [12, 13] is defined in terms of the formal Lax difference operators $L, \bar{L}, C^{(i)}, \bar{C}^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$ of the form

$$L = \Lambda + L_0 + L_{-1}\Lambda^{-1} + \dots, \tag{30}$$

$$\bar{L} = \bar{L}_{-1}\Lambda^{-1} + \bar{L}_0 + \bar{L}_1\Lambda + \dots, \tag{31}$$

$$C^{(i)} = E_{ii} + C_1^{(i)}\Lambda^{-1} + \dots,$$

$$\bar{C}^{(i)} = \bar{C}_0^{(i)} + \bar{C}_1^{(i)}\Lambda + \dots,$$

where \bar{L}_{-1} is invertible and $\bar{C}_0^{(i)}$ is similar to E_{ii} . These operators are required to satisfy the following constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} C^{(i)}C^{(j)} &= \delta_{ij}C^{(i)}, & i, j &= 1, 2, \\ \bar{C}^{(i)}\bar{C}^{(j)} &= \delta_{ij}\bar{C}^{(i)}, & i, j &= 1, 2, \\ C^{(1)} + C^{(2)} &= 1 = \bar{C}^{(1)} + \bar{C}^{(2)}, \\ [L, C^{(i)}] &= 0 = [\bar{L}, \bar{C}^{(i)}], & i &= 1, 2, \end{aligned}$$

which in this case reduce to

$$C^2 = C, \quad [L, C] = 0, \tag{32}$$

$$\bar{C}^2 = \bar{C}, \quad [\bar{L}, \bar{C}] = 0, \tag{33}$$

where we have denoted $C := C^{(1)}$ and $\bar{C} := \bar{C}^{(1)}$.

Four sequences of flows $t_{i,n}, \bar{t}_{i,n}$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $n \geq 0$ are defined by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{i,n}} \cdot = [(C^{(i)}L^n)_+, \cdot], \tag{34}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{t}_{i,n}} \cdot = [(\bar{C}^{(i)}\bar{L}^n)_-, \cdot], \tag{35}$$

where \cdot can be any of the Lax operators $L, \bar{L}, C^{(i)}, \bar{C}^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Again by standard arguments these flows are seen to commute and preserve the constraints above. In particular, it is easy to see that the matrices $\bar{C}_0^{(j)}$ evolve like

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \bar{C}_0^{(j)}}{\partial t_{i,n}} &= [\text{Res}(C^{(i)}L^n), \bar{C}_0^{(j)}], \\ \frac{\partial \bar{C}_0^{(j)}}{\partial \bar{t}_{i,n}} &= [-\text{Res}(\bar{C}^{(i)}\bar{L}^n), \bar{C}_0^{(j)}]; \end{aligned}$$

hence, the condition of similarity of $\bar{C}_0^{(i)}$ and E_{ii} is preserved.

The solution to constraints (32) for the operators L and C is completely analogous to that for the 2-component KP hierarchy. We summarize the statement here.

Proposition 15. (a) A 2×2 -matrix-valued formal difference operator C of the form

$$C = E_{11} + C_1\Lambda^{-1} + \dots$$

satisfies the constraint $C^2 = C$ if and only if it can be written as

$$C = C_A + C_D \quad \text{with} \quad C_D = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{H}{2}\sqrt{1 - 4C_A^2},$$

where C_D and C_A are the diagonal and off-diagonal parts of C , respectively.

(b) Let $C = C^2$ be as above. A 2×2 -matrix-valued formal difference operator L of the form (30) commutes with C if and only if it has the form

$$L = L_A + L_D \quad \text{with} \quad L_A = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}(\sqrt{1 - 4C_A^2}),$$

where \mathcal{D} is the derivation on the space of formal power series in the variable C_A defined by $\mathcal{D}(1) = 0$ and $\mathcal{D}(C_A) = HL_D$, and L_D and L_A denote the diagonal and off-diagonal parts of L , respectively.

To solve constraints (33) for the operators \bar{L} and \bar{C} we need to take care of the fact that their leading orders are not constant matrices.

The leading order \bar{C}_0 of the operator \bar{C} is required to be similar to E_{11} and to satisfy $\bar{C}_0^2 = \bar{C}_0$; such requirements are equivalent to the following constraints on entries of \bar{C}_0 :

$$\bar{C}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{cases} a + d = 1, \\ ad = bc. \end{cases}$$

For simplicity in the following we consider the generic case where $a \neq 0, 1$, and we parametrize \bar{C}_0 as a function of $b = e^u$ and $c = e^v$ as follows:

$$\bar{C}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} w & e^u \\ e^v & 1 - w \end{pmatrix}, \tag{36}$$

where $w = a$ is a fixed choice of a root of the quadratic equation above, i.e.

$$w = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 4e^{u+v}}).$$

Denote

$$\phi := \bar{C}_0 - E_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} w & e^u \\ e^v & -w \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 16. *The matrix ϕ is invertible, with $\phi^{-1} = \frac{1}{w}\phi$ and*

$$\bar{C}_0 = \phi E_{11} \phi^{-1}.$$

Proof. Clearly

$$\det \phi = -w \neq 0$$

and

$$\bar{C}_0 \phi = \bar{C}_0(\bar{C}_0 - E_{22}) = \bar{C}_0 E_{11} = (\bar{C}_0 - E_{22}) E_{11} = \phi E_{11}. \quad \square$$

Using the matrix ϕ to dress \bar{C} we obtain the parametrization of the first constraint in (33).

Proposition 17. *A 2×2 -matrix-valued formal difference operator \bar{C} of the form*

$$\bar{C} = \bar{C}_0 + \bar{C}_1 \Lambda + \dots,$$

where \bar{C}_0 is of the form (36) and such that it satisfies the constraint $\bar{C}^2 = \bar{C}$, can be parametrized in terms of e^u , e^v and of an off-diagonal operator \hat{C}_A of the form

$$\hat{C}_A = \hat{C}_{A,1} \Lambda + \hat{C}_{A,2} \Lambda^2 + \dots$$

by the formula

$$\bar{C} = \sqrt{1 + 2\tilde{C}} \bar{C}_0 \sqrt{1 + 2\tilde{C}},$$

or equivalently as

$$\bar{C} = \tilde{C} + \frac{1}{2} + (\tilde{C}_0 - \frac{1}{2}) \sqrt{1 - 4\tilde{C}^2},$$

where $\tilde{C} := (\bar{C}_0 - E_{22}) \hat{C}_A \frac{1}{w} (\bar{C}_0 - E_{22})$.

Proof. The operator $\hat{C} := \phi^{-1} \bar{C} \phi$ clearly satisfies $\hat{C}^2 = \hat{C}$ and has the leading term E_{11} . Hence one proves as before that

$$\hat{C} = \hat{C}_A + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{H}{2} \sqrt{1 - 4\hat{C}_A^2}$$

or, as in remark 4,

$$\hat{C} = \sqrt{1 + 2\hat{C}_A E_{11}} \sqrt{1 + 2\hat{C}_A}.$$

Dressing with ϕ one obtains the desired result. □

Now consider the leading term of \bar{L} which must satisfy

$$\bar{L}_{-1} \Lambda^{-1} \bar{C}_0 = \bar{C}_0 \bar{L}_{-1} \Lambda^{-1},$$

and equivalently

$$[\phi^{-1} \bar{L}_{-1} \Lambda^{-1} \phi, E_{11}] = 0.$$

Hence \bar{L}_{-1} must be of the form

$$\bar{L}_{-1} = \phi D (\Lambda^{-1} \phi^{-1}),$$

where D is a diagonal matrix.

Clearly the operator $\hat{L} := \phi^{-1} \bar{L} \phi$ commutes with \hat{C} and has a diagonal leading term $D \Lambda^{-1}$. One can easily show, as in the previous cases, that \hat{L} has to be of the form

$$\hat{L} = \hat{L}_D - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_{H\hat{L}_D} (\sqrt{1 - 4\hat{C}_A^2}).$$

Dressing with ϕ one obtains the required result.

Proposition 18. *Let $\bar{C} = \bar{C}^2$ be as in the previous proposition. A 2×2 -matrix-valued formal difference operator \bar{L} of the form (31) that commutes with \bar{C} can be parametrized by \bar{C} , i.e. by e^u , e^v and \hat{C}_A , and by a diagonal formal difference operator of the form*

$$\hat{L}_D = D \Lambda^{-1} + \hat{L}_{D,0} + \dots$$

by the formula

$$\bar{L} = \tilde{L} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathcal{D}} (\sqrt{1 - 4\tilde{C}^2}),$$

where $\tilde{L} := (\bar{C}_0 - E_{22}) \hat{L}_D \frac{1}{w} (\bar{C}_0 - E_{22})$ and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ is the derivation on the space of formal power series in \tilde{C} defined by $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(1) = 0$ and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(\tilde{C}) = (\bar{C}_0 - E_{22}) H \hat{L}_D \frac{1}{w} (\bar{C}_0 - E_{22})$.

To conclude, note that the dependent variables of the 2-component 2D Toda hierarchy are the entries of C_A , L_D , \hat{C}_A , \hat{L}_D and the variables e^u and e^v that parametrize the leading term \bar{C}_0 .

4. Concluding remarks

In this paper we have shown that it is possible to explicitly solve the constraints in the Lax definition of the 2-component KP and 2D Toda hierarchies. It turns out that the KP Lax operators are parametrized by simple formulas such as (9) and (18), and that analogous formulas hold in the 2D Toda case. This allows us to identify a set of ‘free’ dependent variables for such hierarchies, which are given in the KP case by the off-diagonal part of the operator C and by the diagonal part of the operator L .

We hope that this result will help in the study of reductions, in the construction of bi-Hamiltonian structures, and will also clarify the problem of the existence of the dispersionless limit, especially at the level of the Lax equations.

We plan to consider the n -component case in a subsequent publication. In this regard note that, while the present approach seems to be largely dependent on the properties of 2×2 matrices, it is indeed possible to generalize straightforwardly some results, e.g. proposition 1, to the case of $n \times n$ matrices, by splitting the matrices in four blocks and considering the decomposition in diagonal and off-diagonal blocks. We hope that this property could be exploited to solve also the other constraints that are present in the n -component theory.

Acknowledgments

GC wishes to thank the Department of Theoretical Physics II of the Universidad Complutense de Madrid for its hospitality and acknowledges the support of ESF-MISGAM exchange grant no 2326. MM acknowledges the ESF-MISGAM project and the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación research project FIS2008-00200.

References

- [1] Adler M, van Moerbeke P and Vanderstichelen D 2010 Non-intersecting Brownian motions leaving from and going to several points arXiv:[1005.1303](#)
- [2] Álvarez-Fernández C, Fidalgo Prieto U and Mañas M 2010 Multiple orthogonal polynomials of mixed type: Gauss–Borel factorization and the multi-component 2D Toda hierarchy arXiv:[1004.3916](#)
- [3] Bergvelt M J and ten Kroode A P E 1995 Partitions, vertex operator constructions and multi-component KP equations *Pac. J. Math.* **171** 23–88
- [4] Carlet G 2005 The Hamiltonian structures of the two-dimensional Toda lattice and R -matrices *Lett. Math. Phys.* **71** 209–26
- [5] Carlet G, Dubrovin B and Mertens L P 2010 Infinite-dimensional Frobenius manifolds for 2+1 integrable systems *Math. Ann.* DOI: [10.1007/s00208-010-0509-3](#)
- [6] Date E, Jimbo M, Kashiwara M and Miwa T 1981 Transformation groups for soliton equations: III. Operator approach to the Kadomtsev–Petviashvili equation *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **50** 3806–12
- [7] Dickey L A 2003 *Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems (Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics vol 26)* 2nd edn (River Edge, NJ: World Scientific) xii+408 pp
- [8] Feigin E, van de Leur J and Shadrin S 2009 Givental symmetries of Frobenius manifolds and multi-component KP tau-functions arXiv:[0905.0795](#)
- [9] Kac V G and van de Leur J W 2003 The n -component KP hierarchy and representation theory. Integrability, topological solitons and beyond *J. Math. Phys.* **44** 3245–93
- [10] Kuperschmidt B A 1985 Discrete Lax equations and differential-difference calculus *Astérisque* **123** 212 pp
- [11] Liu S-Q, C-Z Wu and Zhang Y 2009 On the Drinfeld–Sokolov hierarchies of D type arXiv:[0912.5273](#)
- [12] Mañas M, Martínez Alonso L and Álvarez-Fernández C 2009 The multicomponent 2D Toda hierarchy: discrete flows and string equations *Inverse Problems* **25** 065007
- [13] Ueno K and Takasaki K 1984 *Toda lattice hierarchy. Group representations and systems of differential equations (Tokyo, 1982) (Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics) vol 4* (Amsterdam: North-Holland) pp 1–95
- [14] Wu C-Z and Xu D 2010 Bi-Hamiltonian structure of the two-component Kadomtsev–Petviashvili hierarchy of type B arXiv:[1001.4126](#)